

## Guidelines Contributors:

### Core Team:

Brian Kwon  
Michael Fehlings  
Nathan Evaniew  
Lindsay Tetreault

### Guideline contributors:

Ali Moghaddamjou  
Anahita Malvea  
Andrea C. Skelly  
Ayesha Quddusi  
Bizhan Aarabi  
Britt J. Redick  
Carl M. Zipser  
Chris J. Neal  
Christoph P. Hofstetter  
David E. Kahn  
Dean Chou  
Erika D. Brodt  
Gregory W. J. Hawryluk  
Hiroaki Nakashima  
Ilya Laufer  
James S. Harrop  
Jefferson R. Wilson  
Joseph R. Dettori  
Lauren D. Hachem  
Mohammed Ali Alvi

Nader Hejrati  
Narihito Nagoshi  
Nina E. Glass  
Nisaharan Srikandarajah  
Paul M Arnold  
Rajiv Saigal  
Rex A. W. Marco  
Ricardo Rodrigues Pinto  
Sam Douglas  
Samuel Strantzas  
Saumayajit Basu  
Shay Stabler-Morris  
Shekar N. Kurpad  
Steven Kirshblum  
Uzma Samadani  
Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar  
Valerie ter Wengel  
Virginia F. J. Newcombe  
Yi Lu  
Yoon Ha

### Systematic review committee

Allan R. Martin  
Benjamin Davies  
Farzin Farahbakhsh  
James Guest  
Daniel Graves  
Aditya Vedantam  
Radha Korupolz  
Mario Ganau  
Stephen L McKenna

- **AO Spine & Praxis Spinal Cord Institute Guidelines for the Management of Acute Spinal Cord Injury** contains a collection of recommendations for spinal surgeons and multidisciplinary teams to manage aspects of acute spinal cord injury (SCI) in a bid to optimize treatment outcomes for patients around the world.
- The evidence-based recommendations are intended as a practical resource for spine surgeons and health care practitioners on:
  1. the timing of surgical decompression after acute traumatic SCI
  2. the hemodynamic management of acute traumatic SCI
  3. the management of intraoperative SCI
- The recommendations from the AO Spine and Praxis Spinal Cord Institute Guidelines did not only highlight the current best practice in the management of SCI, but reveal critical knowledge gaps and barriers to implementation that will help to guide further research efforts in SCI.
- Path forward: Addressing these gaps will be essential to advancing care and improving outcomes for patients with SCI.
- Systematic literature reviews were conducted on each topic using the GRADE approach and the evidence gathered was used to generate the recommendations. Each review has been published separately.

Access the Guidelines articles here:



Global Spine Journal. Volume 14  
Issue 3\_suppl, March 2024

AO  
SPINE

PRAXIS  
Spinal Cord Institute  
Institut de la moelle épinière

AO  
SPINE

PRAXIS  
Spinal Cord Institute  
Institut de la moelle épinière

## Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Acute Spinal Cord Injury Patients



by AO Spine Knowledge  
Forum Spinal Cord Injury



© 2020 AO Foundation, AO Spine  
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons  
Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.  
To view a copy of this license, visit  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

AO Spine, Clavadelerstrasse 8,  
CH-7270 Davos, Switzerland  
Phone +41 81 414 21 11  
[info@aospine.org](mailto:info@aospine.org)

# AO Spine & Praxis Spinal Cord Institute Guidelines for the Management of Acute Spinal Cord Injury (SCI)

Key topics and recommendations from the guidelines for the management of acute SCI.

Management of Patients With Acute Spinal Cord Injury		Prevention, Diagnosis and Management of Intraoperative Spinal Cord Injury	
<p><b>The Role and Timing of Surgical Decompression after Acute Traumatic SCI</b></p>	<p><b>The Hemodynamic Management of Acute Traumatic SCI</b></p>	<p><b>The Use of Intraoperative Neuromonitoring and for the Use of Preoperative and Intraoperative Protocols for Patients Undergoing Spine Surgery</b></p>	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;">  <div style="flex-grow: 1;"> <p><b>AO SPINE PRAXIS CARE PATHWAY</b> TO MANAGE PATIENTS AT HIGH RISK FOR INTRAOPERATIVE SPINAL CORD NEUROLOGICAL DETERIORATION</p> </div>  </div>
<p><b>Key Question 1:</b> Should we recommend early decompressive surgery (<math>\leq 24</math> hours after injury) for adult patients with acute SCI regardless of injury severity and neurological level?</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> We recommend that early surgery be offered as an option for adult patients with acute SCI regardless of level. <b>Quality of Evidence:</b> Moderate <b>Strength of Recommendation:</b> Strong</p> <p><b>Key Question 2:</b> Should we recommend ultra-early decompressive surgery for adult patients with acute SCI regardless of injury severity and neurological level?</p> <p><b>Statement:</b> A recommendation for ultra early surgery could not be made on the basis of the current evidence because of the limited number of studies with low sample sizes, variable definitions of what constituted ultra-early surgery, and the inconsistency of reported neurological benefits from ultra early surgery.</p>	<p><b>Key Question 1:</b> Should we recommend the augmentation of mean arterial blood pressure to at least 75–80 mmHg and not higher than 90–95 mmHg in order to optimize spinal cord perfusion in acute traumatic SCI?</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> We suggest the augmentation of mean arterial blood pressure to at least 75–80 mmHg but not higher than 90–95 mmHg in order to optimize spinal cord perfusion in acute traumatic SCI. <b>Quality of Evidence:</b> Very Low <b>Strength of Recommendation:</b> Weak</p> <p><b>Key Question 2:</b> Should we recommend the augmentation of mean arterial blood pressure for a duration of 3–7 days in order to optimize spinal cord perfusion in acute traumatic SCI?</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> We suggest the augmentation of mean arterial pressure for a duration of 3–7 days in order to optimize spinal cord perfusion in acute traumatic spinal cord injury. <b>Quality of Evidence:</b> Very Low <b>Strength of Recommendation:</b> Weak</p>	<p><b>Key Question 1:</b> Should we recommend intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring for patients undergoing spine surgery deemed to be “high risk”?</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> We recommend that intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring be employed for high-risk patients. <b>Quality of Evidence:</b> Low <b>Strength of Recommendation:</b> Strong</p> <p><b>Key Question 2:</b> Should we recommend that patients at “high risk” for intraoperative SCI (ISCI) during spine surgery be proactively identified, that after identification of such patients, multi-disciplinary team discussions be undertaken to manage patients, and that an intraoperative protocol including the use of IntraOperative NeuroMonitoring (IONM) be implemented?</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> We suggest that patients at “high risk” for ISCI during spine surgery be proactively identified, that after identification of such patients, multi-disciplinary team discussions be undertaken to manage patients, and that an intraoperative protocol including the use of IONM be implemented. <b>Quality of Evidence:</b> Very Low <b>Strength of Recommendation:</b> Weak</p>	<div style="background-color: #e0f2f1; padding: 10px; border-radius: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p><b>STEP 1: Initial clinical assessment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify the patient at high risk for intraoperative spinal cord neurological deterioration (refer to the high risk table)</li> <li>Document an accurate neurological examination (ASIA standards)</li> <li>Careful informed consent discussion</li> </ul>  </div> <div style="background-color: #e0f2f1; padding: 10px; border-radius: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p><b>STEP 2: Preoperative planning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Multidisciplinary team discussion</li> <li>Optimize preoperative factors</li> <li>Plan on intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring to be available</li> <li>Agree on an intraoperative checklist for management</li> <li>Have a monitored bed (ICU or step down) available post op</li> </ul>  </div> <div style="background-color: #e0f2f1; padding: 10px; border-radius: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p><b>STEP 3: Surgical/anaesthetic planning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fibreoptic intubation for unstable cervical spine or extrinsic cervical cord compression</li> <li>Maintain MAP targets</li> <li>Intra-operative neurophysiological monitoring</li> <li>Patient positioning</li> <li>Intraoperative imaging/image guidance</li> </ul>  </div> <div style="background-color: #e0f2f1; padding: 10px; border-radius: 10px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p><b>STEP 4: Intraoperative management</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Refer to the checklist- modified from Vitale et al 2014</p>   </div> <div style="background-color: #e0f2f1; padding: 10px; border-radius: 10px;"> <p><b>STEP 5: Postoperative management</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitored bed</li> <li>Document an accurate neurological examination and perform serial neurological examinations</li> <li>Consider pharmacological management with MPSS</li> <li>MAP parameters</li> <li>Consider the need for postoperative advanced imaging- MRI/CT</li> </ul>  </div>

This research was financially supported by the AO Foundation, AO Spine and Praxis Spinal Cord Institute. This study was jointly organized and funded by AO Foundation through the AO Spine Knowledge Forum Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) (<https://www.aospine.org/kf-sci>), a focused group of international SCI experts, and the Praxis Spinal Cord Institute (<https://praxisinstitute.org/>) through funding from Western Economic Diversification Canada.

The funding bodies did not control or influence the editorial content of the articles or the guidelines process. Methodologic and analytic support for this work was provided by Aggregate Analytics, Inc., with funding from the AO Foundation and Praxis Spinal Cord Institute.

